

A remark on a result of Ding-Jost-Li-Wang

Yunyan Yang, Xiaobao Zhu

Department of Mathematics, Renmin University of China, Beijing 100872, P. R. China

Abstract

Let (M, g) be a compact Riemannian surface without boundary, $W^{1,2}(M)$ be the usual Sobolev space, $J : W^{1,2}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the functional defined by

$$J(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M |\nabla u|^2 dv_g + 8\pi \int_M u dv_g - 8\pi \log \int_M h e^u dv_g,$$

where h is a positive smooth function on M . In an inspiring work (Asian J. Math., vol. 1, pp. 230-248, 1997), Ding, Jost, Li and Wang obtained a sufficient condition under which J achieves its minimum. In this note, we prove that if the smooth function h satisfies $h \geq 0$ and $h \not\equiv 0$, then the above result still holds. Our method is to exclude blow-up points on the zero set of h .

Keywords: Kazdan-Warner problem, Trudinger-Moser inequality, blow-up analysis
2010 MSC: 46E35

1. Introduction

1.1. A review of a result of Ding-Jost-Li-Wang

Let (M, g) be a compact Riemannian surface without boundary, $h(x)$ be a smooth function on M . Suppose the area of M is equal to 1. In [3], Ding, Jost, Li and Wang studied one of the Kazdan-Warner problems [6], existence of solutions to the equation

$$\Delta u = 8\pi - 8\pi h e^u \quad \text{on } M, \tag{1}$$

where Δ denotes the usual Laplacian on M . Their method of solving this problem is calculus of variations. Namely, they tried to minimize the functional

$$J(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M |\nabla u|^2 dv_g + 8\pi \int_M u dv_g - 8\pi \log \int_M h e^u dv_g$$

in the function space

$$H_1 = \left\{ u \in W^{1,2}(M) \mid \int_M h e^u dv_g = 1 \right\}.$$

Email addresses: yunyanyang@ruc.edu.cn (Yunyan Yang), zhuxiaobao@ruc.edu.cn (Xiaobao Zhu)

Preprint submitted to ***

October 5, 2016

To show that J is bounded from below, they considered subcritical functional

$$J_\epsilon(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M |\nabla u|^2 dv_g + (8\pi - \epsilon) \int_M u dv_g - (8\pi - \epsilon) \log \int_M h e^u dv_g$$

for any $\epsilon > 0$. It is not difficult to check that J achieves its minimum in the function space H_1 . The minimizer u_ϵ satisfies the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$\Delta u_\epsilon = (8\pi - \epsilon) - (8\pi - \epsilon) h e^{u_\epsilon}. \quad (2)$$

By the elliptic regularity theory, $u_\epsilon \in C^2(M) \cap H_1$. Set $\lambda_\epsilon = \max_M u_\epsilon$. There are two possibilities: (i) there exists some constant C such that $\lambda_\epsilon \leq C$ for all $\epsilon > 0$; (ii) $\lambda_\epsilon \rightarrow +\infty$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, i.e., u_ϵ blows up. In case (i), by applying elliptic estimates to (2), they proved that $u_\epsilon \rightarrow u_0$ in $C^1(M)$ and that u_0 is a minimizer of J in H_1 . In case (ii), they assumed that $u_\epsilon(x_\epsilon) = \lambda_\epsilon$ and $x_\epsilon \rightarrow p \in M$. Here and throughout this note, sequence and subsequence are not distinguished. Choose a local normal coordinate system around p . Let $\lambda_\epsilon^* = e^{\lambda_\epsilon/2}$ and $\varphi_\epsilon(x) = u_\epsilon(x_\epsilon + x/\lambda_\epsilon^*) - \lambda_\epsilon$. By elliptic estimates, they showed that $\varphi_\epsilon \rightarrow \varphi$ in $C_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$, where φ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^2} \varphi(x) = -8\pi h(p) e^{\varphi(x)} \\ \varphi(0) = 0 = \sup_{\mathbb{R}^2} \varphi \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} h(p) e^{\varphi(x)} dx \leq 1, \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where $\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^2}$ denotes the standard Laplacian on $\mathbb{R}^2$. Suppose that $h(x) > 0$ for all $x \in M$. In particular, $h(p) > 0$. It then follows from a classification theorem of Chen-Li [2] that

$$\varphi(x) = -2 \log(1 + \pi h(p) |x|^2).$$

Moreover, they proved that $u_\epsilon(x) - \bar{u}_\epsilon(x) \rightarrow G(x, p)$ weakly in $W^{1,q}(M)$ for any $1 < q < 2$ and in $C_{\text{loc}}^2(M \setminus \{p\})$, where $\bar{u}_\epsilon = \int_M u_\epsilon dv_g$ and G is a Green function satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \Delta G = 8\pi - 8\pi \delta_p, \\ \int_M G dv_g = 0. \end{cases}$$

In a normal coordinate system around p one can assume that

$$G(x, p) = -4 \log r + A(p) + b_1 x_1 + b_2 x_2 + c_1 x_1^2 + 2c_2 x_1 x_2 + c_3 x_2^2 + O(r^3), \quad (4)$$

where $r(x) = \text{dist}(x, p)$.

Furthermore, using the maximum principle, they analyzed the neck energy of u_ϵ . Combining all the above analysis, they concluded that if u_ϵ blows up, then

$$\inf_{u \in H_1} J(u) \geq C_0 = -8\pi - 8\pi \log \pi - 4\pi \max_{p \in M} (A(p) + 2 \log h(p)). \quad (5)$$

In other words, if (5) does not hold, then u_ϵ would not blow up and thus C^1 -converges to a minimizer of J . Then the proof of existence result for (1) was reduced to constructing a sequence of functions ϕ_ϵ satisfying $J(\phi_\epsilon) < C_0$ for sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$. They proved that this is true provided that

$$\Delta h(p_0) + 2(b_1(p_0)k_1(p_0) + b_2(p_0)k_2(p_0)) > -(8\pi + (b_1^2(p_0) + b_2^2(p_0)) - 2K(p_0))h(p_0), \quad (6)$$

where $K(x)$ is the Gaussian curvature on M , $\nabla h(p_0) = (k_1(p_0), k_2(p_0))$ in the normal coordinate system, p_0 is the maximum point of the function $A(q) + 2 \log h(q)$, and $b_1(p_0), b_2(p_0)$ are constants in the expression of the Green function G , namely (4).

Summarizing, they obtained the following result ([3], Theorem 1.2): If h is a smooth and strictly positive function on M and the hypothesis (6) is satisfied, then the equation (1) has a smooth solution.

1.2. An improvement

Checking the proof of ([3], Theorem 1.2), we find that the hypothesis $h(x) > 0$ for all $x \in M$ is only needed in solving the bubble φ from (3), where p is the blow-up point. Now suppose that $h \geq 0$ and $h \not\equiv 0$ on M . If u_ϵ blows up at $p \in M$ with $h(p) > 0$, then we conclude that ([3], Theorem 1.2) still holds. Precisely, we have the following:

Theorem 1. *Let (M, g) be a compact Riemann surface, $K(x)$ be its Gauss curvature, and $h : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function such that $h(x) \geq 0$ and $h \not\equiv 0$. Suppose that $A(x) + 2 \log h(x)$ attains its maximum at $p^* \in M$. Let $b_1(p^*)$ and $b_2(p^*)$ be two constants defined as in (4), and write $\nabla h(p^*) = (k_1(p^*), k_2(p^*))$ in the normal coordinate system. If (6) holds at p^* , then (1) has a smooth solution.*

The method we exclude the possibility that u_ϵ blows up at some zero point of h is based on a concentration lemma of Ding-Jost-Li-Wang [4].

Before ending the introduction, we mention two related works also about the existence results of mean field equations at the critical parameter. Lin-Wang [7] obtained a sufficient and necessary condition under which the mean field equation on a flat torus at the critical parameter has a solution. Bartolucci-Lin [1] considered the existence of mean field equations at the critical parameter on multiply connected domains in $\mathbb{R}^2$.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. The Trudinger-Moser inequality

We state a Trudinger-Moser inequality, which plays an important role in the Kazdan-Warner problem (1).

Lemma 2. ([5, 3]) *Let (M, g) be a compact Riemannian surface. For any $u \in W^{1,2}(M)$ with $\int_M u dv_g = 0$ one has*

$$\int_M e^u dv_g \leq C_M e^{\frac{1}{16\pi} \|\nabla u\|_2^2},$$

where C_M is a positive constant depending only on (M, g) .

2.2. The concentration lemma

The key tool we use to solve Theorem 1 is the following concentration lemma due to Ding-Jost-Li-Wang.

Lemma 3. ([4]) Let (M, g) be a compact Riemannian surface with volume 1. Given a sequence of functions $v_\epsilon \in W^{1,2}(M)$ with $\int_M e^{v_\epsilon} dv_g = 1$ and $\int_M |\nabla v_\epsilon|^2 dv_g + 16\pi \int_M v_\epsilon dv_g \leq C$, then either
(i) there is a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that $\int_M |\nabla v_\epsilon|^2 dv_g \leq C_1$; or
(ii) v_ϵ concentrates at a point $p \in M$, i.e., for any $r > 0$,

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{B_r(p)} e^{v_\epsilon} dv_g = 1.$$

3. Proof of Theorem 1

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $\epsilon > 0$, we consider the functional

$$J_\epsilon(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M |\nabla u|^2 dv_g + (8\pi - \epsilon) \int_M u dv_g - (8\pi - \epsilon) \log \int_M h e^u dv_g$$

in the function space $H_1 = \{u \in W^{1,2}(M) \mid \int_M h e^u dv_g = 1\}$. By Lemma 2, J_ϵ is coercive and bounded from below, so it attains its infimum in H_1 at some $u_\epsilon \in H_1$. Clearly, u_ϵ satisfies the Euler-Lagrange equation (2), i.e.

$$\Delta u_\epsilon = (8\pi - \epsilon) - (8\pi - \epsilon) h e^{u_\epsilon}.$$

Denote $\tilde{H} = \{u \in W^{1,2}(M) \mid \int_M u dv_g = 0\}$. For any $u \in W^{1,2}(M)$, we have $u - \bar{u} \in \tilde{H}$ and $u - \log \int_M h e^u dv_g \in H_1$, where $\bar{u} = \int_M u dv_g$. Thus we have

$$\inf_{u \in H_1} J_\epsilon(u) = \inf_{u \in \tilde{H}} J_\epsilon(u) = \inf_{u \in W^{1,2}(M)} J_\epsilon(u), \quad \inf_{u \in H_1} J(u) = \inf_{u \in \tilde{H}} J(u) = \inf_{u \in W^{1,2}(M)} J(u),$$

since $J_\epsilon(u + c) = J_\epsilon(u)$ and $J(u + c) = J(u)$ for any constant c . Let $w_\epsilon = u_\epsilon - \bar{u}_\epsilon$, then $w_\epsilon \in \tilde{H}$ attains the infimum of J_ϵ in $\tilde{H}$. There are two possibilities:

Case 1. $\|\nabla u_\epsilon\|_2 \leq C$.

In this case, $\|\nabla w_\epsilon\|_2 \leq C$. Without loss of generality, we assume

$$\begin{aligned} w_\epsilon &\rightharpoonup w_0 \quad \text{weakly in } W^{1,2}(M) \\ w_\epsilon &\rightarrow w_0 \quad \text{strongly in } L^p(M), \quad \forall p \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

This together with the Trudinger-Moser inequality (Lemma 2) and the Hölder inequality leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M h (e^{w_\epsilon} - e^{w_0}) dv_g &= \int_M h \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} e^{w_0 + t(w_\epsilon - w_0)} dt dv_g \\ &= \int_0^1 \int_M h e^{w_0 + t(w_\epsilon - w_0)} (w_\epsilon - w_0) dv_g dt \\ &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\inf_{u \in \tilde{H}} J(u) \geq \liminf_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \inf_{u \in \tilde{H}} J_\epsilon(u) = \liminf_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} J_\epsilon(w_\epsilon) \geq J(w_0).$$

That is to say, $w_0 \in \widetilde{H}$ attains the infimum of J in $\widetilde{H}$. Let $u_0 = w_0 - \log \int_M h e^{w_0} dv_g$. Hence $u_0 \in H_1$ attains the infimum of J in H_1 and satisfies

$$\Delta u_0 = 8\pi - 8\pi h e^{u_0}.$$

The elliptic regularity theory implies that $u_0 \in C^2(M)$. The proof of Theorem 1 terminates in this case.

Case 2. $\|\nabla u_\epsilon\|_2 \rightarrow +\infty$.

By the elliptic regularity theory, in view of (2), we have $u_\epsilon \in C^2(M) \cap H_1$. Let $\lambda_\epsilon = \max_M u_\epsilon = u_\epsilon(x_\epsilon)$. In this case, there holds $\lambda_\epsilon \rightarrow +\infty$. For otherwise, we have that $\|\nabla u_\epsilon\|_2$ is bounded by applying elliptic estimates to (2). It should be remarked that $\|\nabla u_\epsilon\|_2 \rightarrow +\infty$ if and only if $\lambda_\epsilon \rightarrow +\infty$. Let $\Omega \subset M$ be a domain. If $\int_\Omega h e^{u_\epsilon} dv_g \leq \frac{1}{2} - \delta$ for some $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, then ([3], Lemma 2.8) implies that

$$\|u_\epsilon - \bar{u}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_0)} \leq C(\Omega_0, \Omega), \quad \forall \Omega_0 \subset\subset \Omega. \quad (7)$$

Suppose $x_\epsilon \rightarrow p$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. As we explained in the introduction, to prove Theorem 1, it suffices to prove that $h(p) > 0$. For this purpose, we set $v_\epsilon = u_\epsilon - \log \int_M e^{u_\epsilon} dv_g$. Then $\int_M e^{v_\epsilon} dv_g = 1$. Note that $J_\epsilon(v_\epsilon) = J_\epsilon(u_\epsilon)$ and that

$$J_\epsilon(u_\epsilon) = \inf_{H_1} J_\epsilon(u) \leq J_\epsilon\left(-\log \int_M h dv_g\right) = -(8\pi - \epsilon) \log \int_M h dv_g.$$

By Jensen's inequality, we have

$$\int_M v_\epsilon dv_g \leq \log \int_M e^{v_\epsilon} dv_g = 0. \quad (8)$$

Combining the above two estimates, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M |\nabla v_\epsilon|^2 dv_g + 16\pi \int_M v_\epsilon dv_g &\leq 2\left(J_\epsilon(v_\epsilon) + \epsilon \int_M v_\epsilon dv_g + (8\pi - \epsilon) \log \int_M h e^{v_\epsilon} dv_g\right) \\ &\leq 2\left(J_\epsilon(u_\epsilon) + \epsilon \int_M v_\epsilon dv_g + (8\pi - \epsilon) \log \max_M h\right) \\ &\leq (16\pi - 2\epsilon) \log \frac{\max_M h}{\int_M h dv_g} \\ &\leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, (ii) of Lemma 3 holds in this case. Hence there exists some $p' \in M$ such that v_ϵ concentrates at p' , namely,

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{B_r(p')} e^{v_\epsilon} dv_g = 1, \quad \forall r > 0. \quad (9)$$

We first claim that

$$h(p') > 0. \quad (10)$$

To see this, in view of (9), we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\int_M h e^{u_\epsilon} dv_g}{\int_M e^{u_\epsilon} dv_g} &= \int_M h e^{v_\epsilon} dv_g = \int_{B_r(p')} h e^{v_\epsilon} dv_g + \int_{M \setminus B_r(p')} h e^{v_\epsilon} dv_g \\
&= (h(p') + o_r(1)) \int_{B_r(p')} e^{v_\epsilon} dv_g + o_\epsilon(1) \\
&= (h(p') + o_r(1))(1 + o_\epsilon(1)) + o_\epsilon(1) \\
&= h(p') + o_r(1) + o_\epsilon(1).
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Because the left hand side of (11) does not depend on r , we have by passing to the limit $r \rightarrow 0$,

$$\frac{\int_M h e^{u_\epsilon} dv_g}{\int_M e^{u_\epsilon} dv_g} = h(p') + o_\epsilon(1). \tag{12}$$

Also we have

$$-(8\pi - \epsilon) \log \int_M h dv_g = J_\epsilon \left(-\log \int_M h dv_g \right) \geq \inf_{H_1} J_\epsilon(u) = J_\epsilon(u_\epsilon) \tag{13}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
J_\epsilon(u_\epsilon) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_M |\nabla u_\epsilon|^2 dv_g + (8\pi - \epsilon) \int_M u_\epsilon dv_g - (8\pi - \epsilon) \log \int_M h e^{u_\epsilon} dv_g \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_M |\nabla u_\epsilon|^2 dv_g + (8\pi - \epsilon) \int_M u_\epsilon dv_g - (8\pi - \epsilon) \log (h(p') + o_\epsilon(1)) \\
&\quad - (8\pi - \epsilon) \log \int_M e^{u_\epsilon} dv_g \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_M |\nabla u_\epsilon|^2 dv_g + (8\pi - \epsilon) \int_M u_\epsilon dv_g - (8\pi - \epsilon) \log (h(p') + o_\epsilon(1)) \\
&\quad - (8\pi - \epsilon) \log \left(C e^{\frac{1}{16\pi} \int_M |\nabla u_\epsilon|^2 dv_g + \int_M u_\epsilon dv_g} \right) \\
&\geq - (8\pi - \epsilon) \log (C(h(p') + o_\epsilon(1))).
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Combining (13) and (14), we obtain

$$-(8\pi - \epsilon) \log \int_M h dv_g \geq - (8\pi - \epsilon) \log (C(h(p') + o_\epsilon(1))),$$

and whence

$$\log \int_M h dv_g \leq \log (Ch(p')).$$

This immediately leads to (10).

We next claim that

$$p' = p. \tag{15}$$

In view of (ii) of Lemma 3, there holds $\int_\Omega e^{v_\epsilon} dv_g \rightarrow 0$, $\forall \Omega \subset\subset M \setminus \{p'\}$. Noting that $u_\epsilon \in H_1$, from (12) we have

$$\int_\Omega h e^{u_\epsilon} dv_g = \int_\Omega h e^{v_\epsilon} dv_g \int_M e^{u_\epsilon} dv_g \leq \frac{\max_M h}{h(p') + o_\epsilon(1)} \int_\Omega e^{v_\epsilon} dv_g \rightarrow 0 \tag{16}$$

as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Combining (7) and (16), we obtain $\|u_\epsilon - \bar{u}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C$ and thus $\|v_\epsilon - \bar{v}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C$ for any $\Omega \subset\subset M \setminus \{p'\}$. This together with (8) implies that $v_\epsilon(x) \leq C$ for all $x \in \Omega \subset\subset M \setminus \{p'\}$.

It follows from (12) and $u_\epsilon \in H_1$ that

$$\int_M e^{u_\epsilon} dv_g = \frac{1}{h(p') + o_\epsilon(1)} < \frac{2}{h(p')}$$

for sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$. Suppose $p' \neq p$. Recalling that $\lambda_\epsilon = u_\epsilon(x_\epsilon) = \max_M u_\epsilon$ and $x_\epsilon \rightarrow p$, we find a domain Ω such that $x_\epsilon \in \Omega \subset\subset M \setminus \{p'\}$. Hence

$$u_\epsilon(x_\epsilon) \leq v_\epsilon(x_\epsilon) + \log \int_M e^{u_\epsilon} dv_g \leq C,$$

which contradicts $\lambda_\epsilon \rightarrow +\infty$ and concludes our claim (15). Combining (10) and (15), we obtain $h(p) > 0$. Since the remaining part of the proof of Theorem 1 is completely analogous to that of ([3], Theorem 1.2), we omit the details here. $\square$

Acknowledgements. The authors are supported by the National Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11171347 and 11401575). We thank the referee for his/her careful review and very helpful suggestions.

References

- [1] D. Bartolucci, C. Lin, Existence and uniqueness for Mean Field Equations on multiply connected domains at the critical parameter, Math. Ann. 359 (2014), 1-44.
- [2] W. Chen, C. Li, Classification of solutions of some nonlinear elliptic equations, Duke Math. J. 63 (1991), 615-622.
- [3] W. Ding, J. Jost, J. Li, G. Wang, The differential equation $\Delta u = 8\pi - 8\pi h e^u$ on a compact Riemann Surface, Asian J. Math. 1 (1997), 230-248.
- [4] W. Ding, J. Jost, J. Li, G. Wang, An analysis of the two-vortex case in the Chern-Simons Higgs model, Calc. Var. 7 (1998), 87-97.
- [5] L. Fontana, Sharp borderline Sobolev inequalities on compact Riemannian manifolds, Comm. Math. Helv. 68 (1993), 415-454.
- [6] J. Kazdan, F. Warner, Curvature functions for compact 2-manifolds, Ann. of Math. (2) 99 (1974), 14-47.
- [7] C. Lin, C. Wang, Elliptic functions, Green functions and the mean field equations on tori, Ann. of Math. (2) 172 (2010), no. 2, 911-954.